

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/792,003	Applicant(s) HU ET AL.	
	Examiner Aaron S. Austin	Art Unit 1775	

All Participants:

 (1) Aaron S. Austin.

 (2) Cindy H. Kwacala.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 August 2007
Time: 9:50
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:


Claims discussed:

12 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Claims 12 and 13 were both dependent on cancelled claims. As such, Applicant has asked that they both be cancelled.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JOHN J. ZIMMERMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)